# Conjugation Spaces Comprehensive Examination Part II

Sergio Chaves

May 2016

### 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a topological space, a continuous action of a topological group  $G$  over  $X$ allow to study properties and invariants throughout symmetries of  $X$ ; we want to inherit to  $X$  an algebraic object that reflects both the topology and the group action since the usual cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$  does not consider the action. The ring  $H^*(X/G)$  is not a suitable candidate since in the orbit space  $X/G$  some pathologies may appear if the action of G on X is not free. We might consider then the ring  $H^*(\tilde{X}/G)$  where  $\tilde{X}$  is some particular topological space which is homotopy equivalent to  $X$  and  $G$  acts on it freely.

We are particularly interested in involutions of X, namely, a continuous map  $\tau : X \rightarrow$ X such that  $\tau^2 = id$  induces an action of the group  $G = \{id, \tau\}$  on X. Moreover, if X is a complex manifold and  $\tau$  is the complex conjugation, some algebraic relations between the rings  $H^*(X)$  and  $H^*(\tilde{X}/G)$  occur. This notion can be generalized under suitable conditions over the cohomology rings leading to the concept of Conjugation Space.

In section §2. we present the generalities of the Borel construction  $\widetilde{X}/G$  and the Gequivariant cohomology ring  $H^*(\tilde{X}/G)$ , the proofs of that section are not presented and can be found in [3]. In section §3. is developed the theory of Conjugation Spaces, main properties and examples are presented. Throughout all the document,  $H^*(X)$ will denote the singular cohomology ring  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}_2)$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  denotes the field of two elements. For basic and introductory results on Algebraic Topology we will refer [1].

## 2 Spaces With Involution

Let X be a topological space. An **involution** on X is a continuous map  $\tau : X \to X$ such that  $\tau^2 = id$ . If we denote by  $G = {\tau, id}$  the cyclic group of order 2, an involution on  $X$  is equivalent to  $X$  being a  $G$ -space, that is, a continuous action of  $G$  over  $X$ .

The fixed point subspace of  $X, X^G$  is defined by

$$
X^G = \{ x \in X : \tau(x) = x \}
$$

So the complement of  $X^G$  in X is the subspace where the action of G is free.

Given two G-spaces X and Y, a G-map  $f: X \to Y$  is a continuous function that commutes with the involutions, namely  $f \circ \tau_X = \tau_Y \circ f$ . Let  $f^G : Y^G \to X^G$  denote the restriction of f to the fixed point subspaces. Two  $G$ -maps  $f_1, f_2$  are  $G$ -homotopic if there exist a homotopy  $F: X \times I \to Y$  connecting them such that for any  $x \in X$ and  $t \in I$ ,  $\tau_Y F(x,t) = F(\tau_X(x), t)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Suppose that X is a G-space which has a  $CW$ -complex structure such that for each n, there is an action of G on the set of n-cells  $\Lambda_n$ , and a Gcharacteristic map  $\psi_n : \Lambda_n \times D^n \to X$ . (Here the action of G on  $\Lambda_n \times D$  is given by  $\tau(\lambda, x) = (\tau(\lambda), x)$ . In this case we say that X is a G-CW-complex.

Observe that if X is a  $G-CW$ -complex then the quotient space  $X/G$  inherits a  $CW$ structure, with the set of *n*-cells equal to  $\Lambda_n/G$ .

**Example 2.2.** The sphere  $S^n$  can be obtained from  $S^{n-1}$  by adjunction of two *n*-cells  $D<sup>n</sup>$  attached by the identity map on the boundary  $S<sup>n-1</sup>$ . Starting from  $S<sup>0</sup> = {\pm 1}$ we have a CW-structure on  $S<sup>n</sup>$  with two k-cells and whose k-skeleton is  $S<sup>k</sup>$ , for  $k \leq n$ . This is also a  $G-CW$ -structure over  $S<sup>n</sup>$  for the involution given by the antipodal map  $x \mapsto -x$ . The quotient space  $S^n/G$  is  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  which inherits a CW-structure. This construction also is applied for the inductive limits  $S^{\infty}$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let X be a space with involution  $\tau$ . The Borel construction  $X_G$ is the quotient space

$$
X_G = S^{\infty} \times_G X = (S^{\infty} \times X) / \sim \tag{2.3.1}
$$

where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation  $(z, x) \sim (-z, \tau(x))$ .

If  $f: X \to Y$  is a G-map, then the map  $id \times f: S^{\infty} \times X \to S^{\infty} \times Y$  induces a continuous map  $f_G: X_G \to Y_G$ . Also, if X and Y have the same G-homotopy type, then  $X_G$  and  $Y_G$  have the same homotopy type.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the constant map  $X \to pt$ , since  $pt_G = \mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$ , the induced map  $p: X_G \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  is given by  $p([z, x]) = \hat{p}(z)$ , where  $\hat{p}: S^\infty \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  is the 2-fold covering projection.

**Example 2.5.** Suppose that the involution on X is trivial, that is  $\tau(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then we have a homeomorphism  $X_G \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{R}P^{\infty} \times X$ , induced by the continuous maps  $p: X_G \to \mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$  (from Example 2.4) and  $X_G \to X$  (induced by the projection  $S^{\infty} \times X \to X$ ).

**Lemma 2.6.** Let X be a space with involution  $\tau$ .

1. The map  $p: X_G \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  is a locally trivial fiber bundle with fiber homeomorphic to X.

- 2. if  $\tau$  has a fixed point, then p admits a section. More precisely, each  $v \in X^G$ provides a section  $s_v : \mathbb{R}P^{\infty} \to X_G$  of p.
- 3. The quotient map  $S^{\infty} \times X \to X_G$  is a 2-fold covering.
- 4. If X is a free G-space and Hausdorff, then morphism  $q^*: H^*(X/G) \to H^*(X_G)$ (induced by the projection G-map  $\tilde{q}: S^{\infty} \times X \to X$ ) is an isomorphism of graded algebras. Furhtermore, if  $X$  is a  $G-CW$ -complex, the map  $q$  is a homotopy equivalence.

Moreover, we have the following consequence:

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional  $G$ -CW-complex. Then  $X$  has a fixed point if and only if the homomorphism  $p^*: H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}) \to H^*(X_G)$  is injective.

**Definition 2.8.** Let X be a space with involution  $\tau$ . The G-equivariant coho**mology**  $H_G^*(X)$  is the cohomology algebra defined by

$$
H_G^*(X) = H^*(X_G). \tag{2.8.1}
$$

Recall that  $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  is the polynomial ring where u is a variable in degree 1. (See [1, Theorem 3.19]). In particular,  $H_G^*(pt) = \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ . Observe that for any G-space, the homomorphism  $p^* : H^*(\mathbb{R}P^*) \to H^*_G(X)$  gives  $H^*_G(X)$  the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -algebra

**Example 2.9.** Suppose that the action of G on X is trivial, that is,  $X^G = X$ . From Example 2.5 we have that  $X_G = \mathbb{R}P^{\infty} \times X$ , so by the Künneth formula

$$
H_G^*(X) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2[u] \otimes H^*(X) \approx H^*(X)[u] \tag{2.9.1}
$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let X be a G-space, for any point  $z \in S^{\infty}$ , we have a map  $i_z$ :  $X \to X_G$  given by  $i_z(x) = [z, x]$ . Since  $S^{\infty}$  is path-connected, we have a well defined homomorphism (independent of z)

$$
\rho = i_z^* : H_G^*(X) \to H^*(X) \tag{2.10.1}
$$

We call  $\rho$  the forgetful homomorphism, and we say that X is equivariantly formal if  $\rho$  is surjective.

Observe that  $\rho$  is functorial, that is, if  $f: Y \to X$  is a G-map, the following diagram

$$
H_G^*(X) \xrightarrow{\rho_X} H^*(X)
$$
  

$$
f_G^* \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f^*
$$
  

$$
H_G^*(Y) \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} H^*(Y)
$$

is commutative.

Consider the subalgebra of  $H^*(X)$  given by

$$
H^*(X)^G = \{ a \in H^*(X) : \tau^*(a) = a \}. \tag{2.10.2}
$$

Let  $z \in S^{\infty}$ , for any  $b \in H^*_{G}(X)$ , we have that

$$
\tau^* \circ \rho(b) = \tau^* \circ i_z^*(b) = (i_z \circ \tau)^*(b) = i_{-z}^*(b) = \rho(b).
$$

This shows that  $\rho(H_G^*(X)) \subseteq H^*(X)^G$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** Let X be a G-space with  $X^G \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\widetilde{H}^i(X) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i < r$ . Then there is a short exact sequence:

$$
0 \to H^r(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^r_G(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^r(X)^G \to 0.
$$
 (2.11.1)

**Remark 2.12.** If X is equivariantly formal, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can choose a  $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ linear map  $\sigma: H^k(X) \to H^k_G(X)$  such that  $\rho \sigma = id$ . This gives rise to an additive section  $\sigma: H^*(X) \to H^*_G(X)$  of  $\rho$ . The Leray–Hirsch Theorem ([3, Theorem 4.1.17]) applied to the locally trivial fiber bundle  $X \to X_G \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  implies that the induced map  $\tilde{\sigma}: H^*(X)[u] \to H^*_G(X)$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -modules (but not in general<br>an isomorphism of rings). Therefore, for an equivariantly formal space,  $\ker(a)$  is the an isomorphism of rings). Therefore, for an equivariantly formal space,  $\text{ker}(\rho)$  is the ideal generated by u.

**Proposition 2.13.** Consider the ideal of  $H^*_G(X)$ 

$$
Ann(u) = \{ x \in H^*_{G}(X) : ux = 0 \}.
$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. X is equivariantly formal.
- 2.  $H^*_G(X)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -module.
- 3.  $Ann(u) = 0.$

Let  $r: H^*_G(X) \to H^*_G(X^G)$  be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion  $X^G \hookrightarrow X$ . As a consequence of Proposition 2.13 we have the following result:

**Corollary 2.14.** Let X be a G-space and suppose that  $r: H^*_G(X) \to H^*_G(X^G)$  is injective. Then X is equivariantly formal.

The converse of the above result is not true in general; for instance, consider  $X = S^{\infty}$ together with the antipodal action. Since  $S^{\infty}$  is contractible,  $H^*(S^{\infty}) \approx H^*(pt) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ; moreover, by Lemma 2.6,  $H_G^*(S^{\infty}) \approx H^*(S^{\infty}/G) = H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}) = \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ . Therefore, the map  $\rho: H^*_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \to H^*(X)$  is surjective and thus X is equivariantly formal. However,  $H_G^*(X^G) = H_G^*(\emptyset) = 0$  implies that the map  $r: H_G^*(X) \to H_G^*(X^G)$  is not injective.

**Definition 2.15.** For a  $G$ -space  $X$ , let

$$
h_G^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}_2[u, u^{-1}] \otimes H_G^*(X) \tag{2.15.1}
$$

denote the **localization** of the cohomology algebra  $H_G^*(X, Y)$ .

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u, u^{-1}]$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded with  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u, u^{-1}]^k = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(u^k)$ . Therefore,  $h_G^*(X, Y)$ is a Z-graded  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u, u^{-1}]$ -algebra with

$$
h_G^*(X,Y)^k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(u^i) \otimes H_G^j(X,Y)/\sim
$$

where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation generated by  $u^{i+1} \otimes a \sim u^i \otimes u$ .

**Example 2.16.** Suppose that X is a free finite dimensional  $G-CW$ -complex. By Lemma 2.6,  $H^*_G(X) \approx H^*(X/G)$ . Since  $X/G$  is a finite dimensional CW-complex,  $H^*_G(X)$  is a torsion  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -module. Therefore, the localization  $h^*_G(X) = 0$ . On the other hand, since the action is free,  $X^G = \emptyset$  and thus  $h_G^*(X^G) = 0$  as well. So in this case there is a trivial isomorphism  $h_G^*(X) \approx h_G^*(X^G)$ .

The isomorphism presented in the previous example can be generalized under suitable conditions, as the following theorem states.

**Theorem 2.17** (Localization Theorem). Let X be a finite dimensional  $G-CW$ complex. Then the inclusion  $X^G \hookrightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism

$$
h^*_G(X)\xrightarrow{\approx} h^*_G(X^G)
$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathrm{graded}\ \mathbb{Z}_2[u,u^{-1}]$ -algebras.

The finite dimensional hypothesis is necessary in this theorem; for instance, if  $X = S^{\infty}$ with the antipodal involution,  $X^G = \emptyset$ , but  $h_G^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}_2[u, u^{-1}]$  since  $H_G^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ .

As a consequence of the Localization Theorem we have

**Corollary 2.18.** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space and suppose that  $X$  is equivariantly formal. Then the restriction homomorphism  $r: H^*_G(X) \to H^*_G(X^G)$  is injective.

#### 3 Conjugation Spaces

There are examples of G-spaces for which there exists a ring isomorphism  $\kappa : H^{2*}(X) \to$  $H^*(X^G)$ , where  $H^{2*}(X)$  denotes the subalgebra of even degree elements in  $H^*(X)$ . This isomorphism is part of an interesting structure on equivariant cohomology, which generalizes these examples into the concept of a Conjugation Space. In this section we develop this concept and present properties and examples for these spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Let X be a G-space,  $\rho: H_G^{2*}(X) \to H^{2*}(X)$  the forgetful homomorphism and  $r: H^*_G(X) \to H^*_G(X^G)$  the restriction homomorphism. A **cohomology** frame for X is a pair  $(\kappa, \sigma)$  satisfying

- $\kappa: H^{2*}(X) \to H^*(X^G)$  is an additive isomorphism dividing the degree in half.
- $\sigma: H^{2*}(X) \to H^{2*}_G(X)$  is an additive section of  $\rho$ .

•  $\kappa$ ,  $\sigma$  satisfy the **conjugation equation** in the ring  $H^*_{\mathcal{G}}(X^G)$ ; namely, for any  $a \in H^{2m}(X), m \in \mathbb{N},$ 

$$
r \circ \sigma(a) = \kappa(a)u^m + p_m \tag{3.1.1}
$$

where  $p_m$  denotes *some* polynomial in  $H_G^*(X^G) \approx H^*(X^G)[u]$  of degree less than m.

An involution  $\tau$  admitting a cohomology frame is called a **conjugation**. Additionally, if  $H^{odd}(X) = 0$  then X together with an involution is a **conjugation space**.

Notice that the existence of  $\sigma$  is equivalent to  $\rho$  being surjective and thus X is *equiv*ariantly formal (see Definition 1.9). We have the following examples of Conjugation Spaces.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $D = D^{2n} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : ||x|| \leq 1\}$  and  $\tau$  the involution given by  $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2n}) = (-x_1, \ldots, -x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2n})$ . We have that  $D^G$ is homeomorphic to a disc of dimension  $n$  and therefore there is a canonical ring isomorphism  $\kappa : H^{2*}(D) \to H^*(D^G)$ . On the other hand, since D is G-homotopic to a point,  $D_G \approx \mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$ . Then the map  $\rho: H^*_G(D) \to H^*(D)$  coincides with the evaluation at  $u = 0$  which is clearly surjective. Let  $\sigma$  be an additive section of  $\rho$ ; for any  $a \in H^{2m}(D)$ , the conjugation equation holds trivially if  $m > 0$ . In the case  $m = 0$ , the equation  $r \circ \sigma(a) = \kappa(a)$  follows immediately by definitions of the maps involved.

**Example 3.3.** Let D as in Example 3.2 and set  $\Sigma = D/\partial D$ . The action of G over D induces a well defined action over  $\Sigma$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is homeomorphic to the sphere  $S^{2n}$  and  $\Sigma^G$  to the sphere  $S^n$ , there is a obvious isomorphism  $\kappa : H^{2*}(\Sigma) \to H^*(\Sigma^G)$ , namely, sending the non-trivial element  $a \in H^{2n}(\Sigma)$  to the non trivial element  $b \in H^{n}(\Sigma^{G})$ . By Proposition 2.11 and as  $H^{2n}(\Sigma)^G = H^{2n}(\Sigma)$ , the map  $\rho: H^{2n}_G(\Sigma) \to H^{2n}(\Sigma)$  is surjective; in particular, this implies that  $\Sigma$  is equivariantly formal. Let  $\sigma$  be a section of  $\rho$ .

We only need to check that the conjugation equation holds for  $a \in H^{2n}(\Sigma)$ . As in Example 2.9, we have that  $H_G^{2n}(\Sigma^G) \approx (H^*(\Sigma^G)[u])^{2n}$ , then

$$
r(\sigma(a)) = \kappa(a)u^n \tag{3.3.1}
$$

follows from  $r$  being injective (Corollary 2.14).

**Remark 3.4.** Let X be a conjugation space. On  $H^0(X)$ , the map  $\kappa$  coincides with the restriction homomorphism  $\tilde{r}: H^0(X) \to H^0(X^G)$ . Indeed, for any  $a \in H^0(X)$ ,<br>we have that  $r(a) = r \circ \sigma(a)$  using the conjugation equation; on the other hand, there we have that  $\kappa(a) = r \circ \sigma(a)$  using the conjugation equation; on the other hand, there is a commutative diagram



Thus we have  $\kappa(a) = r \circ \sigma(a) = \tilde{r}(a)$ .

In particular, the last remark implies that  $\kappa$  and  $\sigma$  are morphisms that preserve the units of the rings.

**Theorem 3.5** (Multiplicativity Theorem). Let X be a conjugation space, then  $\kappa$  and  $\sigma$  are ring homomorphisms.

Proof. First we prove that  $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$  for all  $a \in H^{2k}(X)$ ,  $b \in H^{2l}(X)$  Let  $m = k + l$ .

Since  $\rho: H^0_G(X) \to H^0(X)$  is an isomorphism, the claim follows for  $m = 0$ . So we may suppose that  $m > 0$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a section of  $\rho$ , which is a ring homomorphism, we have

$$
\rho(\sigma(ab)) = ab, \text{ and } \rho(\sigma(a)\sigma(b)) = \rho(\sigma(a))\rho(\sigma(b)) = ab \qquad (3.5.1)
$$

Thus,  $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$  mod ker  $\rho$ . Recall that  $H^{odd}(X) = 0$ , and by Remark 2.12 we have a  $\mathbb{Z}[u]$ -module isomorphism  $H^*_G(X) \approx H^*(X)[u]$  and  $\text{ker}(\rho) = \langle u \rangle$ ; therefore it follows,

$$
\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)\sigma(b) + \sigma(d_{2m-2})u^2 + \dots + \sigma(d_0)u^{2m}
$$
\n(3.5.2)

where  $d_i \in H^i(X)$ . We want to show that  $d_{2m-2} = \cdots = d_0 = 0$ .

Applying the restriction homomorphism  $r$  to the previous equation, we obtain

$$
\kappa(ab)u^{m} + \widetilde{p_{m}} = k(a)k(b)u^{m} + p_{m} + (\kappa(d_{2m-2})u^{m-1} + p_{m-1})u^{2} + \dots + \kappa(d_{0})u^{2m}
$$
  
=  $\kappa(d_{0})u^{2m} + p_{2m}$ 

By comparing the 2m-th terms, we get  $\kappa(d_0) = 0$ , and thus  $d_0 = 0$  by injectivity of  $\kappa$ . So we can rewrite the equation (3.5.2) as

$$
\kappa(ab)u^{m} + \widetilde{p_{m}} = \kappa(d_{2})u^{2m-1} + p_{2m-1}
$$
\n(3.5.3)

obtaining  $d_2 = 0$  as before. Following the process inductively, we get  $d_{2m-2} = \cdots =$  $d_2 = d_0 = 0$ , proving that  $\sigma$  is a ring homomorphism.

To prove that  $\kappa(ab) = \kappa(a)\kappa(b)$ , using the conjugation equation (3.1.1) on both sides of

$$
r\sigma(ab) = r\sigma(a)r\sigma(b),
$$

we have

$$
\kappa(ab)u^m + p_m = (\kappa(a)u^k + p_k)(\kappa(b)u^l + p_l)
$$
  
=  $\kappa(a)\kappa(b)u^m + \widetilde{p_m}$ .

Therefore,  $\kappa(ab) = \kappa(a)\kappa(b)$  by comparing degrees.

 $\Box$ 

As an immediate corollary, following Remark 2.12, we have

**Corollary 3.6.** The map  $\widetilde{\sigma}: H^*(X)[u] \to H^*_G(X)$  induced by  $\sigma$ , is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  closelyzes of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -algebras.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $X$  be a conjugation space, then the restriction homomorphism  $r: H^*_G(X) \to H^*_G(X^G)$  is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \text{ker}(r)$ . Since there is an isomorphism  $H^*_G(X) \approx H^*(X)[u]$  induced by  $\sigma$  (Corollary 3.6), write

$$
x = \sigma(y)u^k + p_k \in H_G^{2n+k}(X)
$$

for some  $y \in H^{2n}(X)$ ; without loss of generality, suppose that k is minimal. From the conjugation equation we get

$$
0 = r(x) = r(\sigma(y)u^k + p_m) = \kappa(y)u^{n+k} + p_{n+k};
$$
\n(3.7.1)

therefore  $y = 0$  since  $\kappa$  is an isomorphism, and thus  $x = 0$ .

Now we focus on the naturality of the cohomology frames, which follows in part form the naturality given by the following diagrams.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be conjugation spaces,

1. Let  $f: Y \to X$  be a G-map, and  $f^G: Y^G \to X^G$  the restriction to the fixed point subspaces. Then the following diagram

$$
H^*(X^G)[u] \xrightarrow{(f^G)^*[u]} H^*(Y^G)[u]
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \approx \qquad \qquad \downarrow \approx
$$
  
\n
$$
H^*_G(X^G) \xrightarrow{(f^G_G)^*} H^*_G(Y^G)
$$

is commutative, where  $(f^G)^*[u]$  is the polynomial extension of the map  $(f^G)^*$ .

2. Let  $r_X$  and  $r_Y$  be the restriction homomorphism for X and Y respectively. Then the following diagram

$$
H_G^*(X) \xrightarrow{r_X} H_G^*(X^G)
$$
  

$$
\downarrow (f_G)^* \qquad \qquad \downarrow (f_G^G)^*
$$
  

$$
H_G^*(Y) \xrightarrow{r_Y} H_G^*(Y^G)
$$

is commutative.

Now we can show the following result:

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

Proposition 3.9 (Naturality of Cohomology Frames). Let X and Y be conjugation spaces with  $(\kappa_X, \sigma_X)$  and  $(\kappa_Y, \sigma_Y)$  the respective cohomology frames. The conjugation space structure is natural, that is, the following diagrams are commutative:

$$
H^{2*}(X) \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} H_G^{2*}(X)
$$
\n
$$
(1) \quad f^* \downarrow \qquad \qquad f_G^*
$$
\n
$$
H^{2*}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} H_G^{2*}(Y)
$$
\n
$$
H^{2*}(X) \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} H^*(X^G)
$$
\n
$$
(2) \quad f^* \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow (f^G)^*.
$$
\n
$$
H^{2*}(Y) \xrightarrow{\kappa_Y} H^*(Y^G)
$$

*Proof.* Fix k a natural number and let  $\rho_X$  and  $\rho_Y$  be the respective forgetful homomorphism. Since  $f^* \circ \rho_X = \rho_Y \circ f_G^*$ , for any  $a \in H^{2k}(X)$  it follows,

$$
\rho_Y \circ f_G^* \circ \sigma_X(a) = f^* \circ \rho_X \circ \sigma_X(a) = f^*(a) = \rho_Y \circ \sigma_Y \circ f^*(a)
$$
\n(3.9.1)

From the above equation, we get the commutativity of the diagram (1) modulo ker  $\rho_Y$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, write

$$
f_G^* \sigma_X(a) = \sigma_Y f^*(a) + \sigma_Y(d_{2k-2})u^2 + \dots + \sigma_Y(d_0)u^{2k}
$$
 (3.9.2)

for some  $d_i \in H^i(Y)$ . Applying  $r_Y$  to both sides of this equation, by Lemma 3.8 we get on the left hand side

$$
r_Y(f_G^*\sigma_X(a)) = (f_G^G)^*(r_X\sigma_X(a))
$$
  
=  $(f_G^G)^*(\kappa_X(a)u^k + p_k)$   
=  $(f_G^G)^*(\kappa_X(a))u^k + \widetilde{p_k}$ 

and for the right hand side we obtain,

$$
r_Y(\sigma_Y f^*(a) + \sigma_Y(d_{2k-2})u^2 + \cdots + \sigma_Y(d_0)u^{2k}) = \kappa_Y(d_0)u^{2k} + p_{2k}
$$

Combining both sides we conclude that  $\kappa_Y(d_0) = 0$  and then  $d_0 = 0$  because of the injectivity of  $\kappa_Y$ . Therefore, the equation (3.8.2) can be rewritten as

$$
r_Y(f_G^* \sigma_X(a)) = \kappa_Y(d_2)u^{2k-2} + p_{2k-2}
$$
\n(3.9.3)

and as before, it follows  $d_2 = 0$ . We can continue this process to finally get

$$
f_G^* \circ \sigma_X(a) = \sigma_Y \circ f^*(a). \tag{3.9.4}
$$

To prove the commutativity of the diagram  $(2)$ , apply  $r_Y$  to both sides of equation (3.8.4), and the conjugation equation and Lemma 3.8 imply

$$
(f^G)^*(\kappa_X(a))u^k + p_k = \kappa_Y(f^*(a))u^k + \widetilde{p}_k; \tag{3.9.5}
$$

therefore, comparing the leading term  $u^k$  and using that  $(f_G^G)^* = (f^G)^*[u]$  we obtain

$$
(f^G)^* \circ \kappa_X(a) = \kappa_Y \circ f^*(a) \tag{3.9.6}
$$

 $\Box$ 

Corollary 3.10 (Uniqueness of Cohomology Frames). Let  $(\kappa, \sigma)$  and  $(\kappa', \sigma')$  cohomology frames for an involution  $\tau$  on X. Then  $(\kappa, \sigma) = (\kappa', \sigma')$ .

*Proof.* Set  $Y = X$  and  $f = id$  on proposition 3.9, this gives  $\kappa = \kappa'$  and  $\sigma = \sigma'$ .  $\Box$ 

Recall that on a conjugation space  $X$ ,  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}: H^*(X)[u] \to H^*_G(X)
$$

(see Corollary 2.4). In fact, proposition 3.9 shows that this isomorphism is natural and gives the following result analogous to Lemma 2.5.

**Corollary 3.11.** For any G-map  $f: Y \to X$  between conjugation spaces, the diagram

$$
H^*(X)[u] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}_x} H^*_G(X)
$$
  

$$
f^*[u] \qquad \qquad \downarrow f^*_G
$$
  

$$
H^*(Y)[u] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}_y} H^*_G(Y)
$$

is commutative, where  $f^*[u]$  is the polynomial extension of the map  $f^*$ .

Many examples of conjugation spaces are constructed by successively attaching of cells homeomorphic to a disc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , together with the complex conjugation. This construction is analogous to the standard construction for CW-complexes, so in this section we focus on presenting some tools for construction of conjugation complexes.

The examples 3.2 and 3.3 motivate the following definition:

**Definition 3.12.** A conjugation cell of dimension  $2n$  is the closed unit disk D in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with a linear involution  $\tau$  which has exactly n eigenvalues equal to -1. Notice that D can be seen as the disc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\tau$  the complex conjugation. The space  $\Sigma = D/\partial D$  is called a conjugation sphere of dimension  $2n$ .

Let Y be a topological space with an involution  $\tau$  and D be a conjugation cell of dimension 2k. Let  $\alpha : S \to Y$  be a G-map where S denotes the boundary of D. Then the involutions on  $Y$  and  $D$  induces an involution on the quotient space

$$
X = Y \cup_{\alpha} D = Y \amalg D / \{ y = \alpha(u) : u \in S \}. \tag{3.12.1}
$$

We say that X is obtained from Y by attaching a conjugation cell of dimension  $2k$ . Observe that the fixed point subspace  $X^G$  is obtained from  $Y^G$  by attaching a k-cell (in the standard sense of CW-complexes).

More genereally, we can attach to Y a set  $\Lambda$  of 2k-conjugation cells, using a G-map

$$
\alpha: \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \to Y.
$$

As before, we have an involution over the resulting space  $X$  and the fixed point set  $X^G$  is obtained from  $Y^G$  by attaching a collection of k-cells indexed by the same set Λ.

Remark 3.13. The notion of G-spaces, G-equivariant cohomology and conjugation spaces can be generalized to topological pairs  $(X, Y)$ , where X is a G-space and Y is a G-stable subspace of X. Such a G-pair together with a cohomology frame  $(\kappa, \sigma)$ is called a Conjugation pair. The main fact about these conjugation pairs is that if  $(X, Y)$  is a Conjugation pair and Y is a Conjugation space, then X is a Conjugation space. (See Proposition 4.1 in [2].)

The main fact about the construction of attaching conjugation cells to a conjugation space is the following:

**Proposition 3.14.** Let Y be a conjugation space and let X be obtained from Y by attaching a collection of  $2k$ -conjugation cells. Then X is a conjugation space.

*Proof.* Suppose that X is obtained from Y by attaching exactly one  $2n$ -conjugation cell  $D = D_{\lambda}$ . According to Remark 3.13, We will show that  $(X, Y)$  is a conjugation pair. Using excision, we have  $H^*(X,Y) \approx H^*(D, S)$  where  $S = \partial D_\lambda$ . As in example 3.3, one can show that there is a Cohomology frame  $(\sigma_{\lambda}, \kappa_{\lambda})$  such that the equation

$$
r_{\lambda}(\sigma_{\lambda}(a)) = \kappa_{\lambda}(a)u^{n}
$$
\n(3.14.1)

holds, where  $a \in H^n(D, S)$  is the non-zero element.

For the general case, suppose that X is obtained from Y by attaching a set  $\Lambda$  of 2n-conjugation cells. Set  $D = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D_{\lambda}$  and  $S = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \partial D_{\lambda}$ . Then we have

$$
H^*(D, S) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H^*(D_{\lambda}, \partial D_{\lambda})
$$
\n(3.14.2)

$$
H_G^*(D, S) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_G^*(D_\lambda, \partial D_\lambda). \tag{3.14.3}
$$

Setting  $\kappa = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \kappa_{\lambda}, \sigma = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ , it follows as in the previous case that

$$
r(\sigma(a)) = \kappa(a)u^n
$$

for any  $a \in H^{2n}(X,Y) \approx H^{2n}(D,S)$  (by excision), where  $r = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} r_{\lambda}$ . Therefore  $(X, Y)$  is a conjugation pair and so X is a conjugation space.  $\Box$ 

To complete the construction of conjugation complexes, we need the following Lemma (for details see Proposition 4.6 in [2]).

**Lemma 3.15** (Direct Limits). Let  $(X_i, f_{ij})$  be a directed system of conjugation spaces and G-equivariant inclusions, indexed by a directed set I. Suppose that each space  $X_i$ is  $T_1$ . Then  $X = \underline{\lim} X_i$  is a conjugation space.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.16.** A space  $X$  is a **conjugation complex** if it is equipped with a filtration

$$
\emptyset = X_{-1} \subseteq X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \cdots X = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} X_k
$$
\n(3.16.1)

where  $X_k$  is obtained by attaching a collection of conjugation cells to  $X_{k-1}$  (indexed by a set  $\Lambda_k(X)$ . The topology on X is the direct limit topology.

By Proposition 3.14 and Lemma 3.15 it follows that a conjugation complex  $X$  is a conjugation space.

**Example 3.17.** The complex projective spaces  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and the complex Grassmannian Manifolds  $Gr_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  together with the complex conjugation, are conjugation complexes (and therefore conjugation spaces) for any  $1 \leq n \leq \infty$  with the standard CW-complex decomposition.

### References

- [1] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [2] JC.Hausmann, T.Holm, and V.Puppe. Conjugation spaces. Algebr. Geom. Topol., 5:923–964, 2005.
- [3] JC. Haussmann. Mod Two Homology and Cohomology. Springer, 2014.